

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/603,665	06/23/2000	Caroline Barry	G-067US03REG	8150	
23557	23557 7590 02/17/2004			EXAMINER	
-	HIK LLOYD & SAL	CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA			
A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION 2421 N.W. 41ST STREET SUITE A-1 GAINESVILLE, FL 326066669			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637		
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/603,665	BARRY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Suryaprabha Chunduru	1637			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHOTHE I  - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is consistent of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the read patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become AB/	rply be timely filed  r (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>C</u>	03 September 2003.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 79-129 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 80,85,86,99-102,104,105,121,12 Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration. 6 and 127 is/are rejected.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Butter the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been in ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Slr No(s)/Mail Date	7	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/603,665

Art Unit: 1637

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicants' response to the office action filed on September 3, 2003 has been entered and considered.
- 2. The instant application is filed on June 23, 2000, which claims priority to provisional applications 60/141,323 filed on June 25, 1999 and 60/176,880 filed on January 18, 2000.
- 3. Claims 79-126 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 80, 85, 86,99-102, 104-105, 121, 126-127 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bowcock et al. (WO 98/12327) ('327).

The following rejection is based on the variant at position 2050 of SEQ ID No. 5, which is identical with alanine at position 421 of SEQ ID No. 52 of '327.

Bowcock et al. teach an isolated polypeptide according to claims 80, 85-86, 99and 127 comprising a contiguous span of 10 amino acids said contiguous span of amino acids comprises an alanine at position 2050 of SEQ ID No. 5 (see pages 287-288, 348, SEQ ID NO. 52, and sequence alignment, the alanine at position 2050 of SEQ ID No. 5 corresponds to alanine of SEQ ID No. 52 of '327 at position 421).

With regard to claims 100-102, Bowcock et al. also teach that the polypeptide comprises at least 100 amino acids in length (see pages 287-288, SEQ ID NO. 52).

Application/Control Number: 09/603,665

Art Unit: 1637

With regard to claim claims 104-105, Bowcock et al. teach that the polypeptide is a recombinant polypeptide and the composition comprising polypeptide further comprises a physiologically acceptable carrier (see page 129, lines 8-22);

With regard to claims 121, and 126, Bowcock et al teach an antibody that selectively binds to the polypeptide, which comprises an epitope-containing fragment comprising alanine at position 2050 of SEQ ID No. 5 (see page 104, lines 4-30). Thus the disclosure of Bowcock et al. meets the limitations in the instant claims.

### Response to arguments

- 4. Applicants' response to the office action is fully considered and found persuasive.
- 5. With reference to the objection made in the previous office action under 35 USC 112, applicants' amendment is fully considered and the objection is withdrawn in view of the amendment.
- 6. With reference to the rejection made in the previous office action under 35 USC 112, second paragraph applicants' arguments are fully considered and the rejection is withdrawn in view of the amendment.
- 7. With reference to the rejections made in the previous office action under 35 USC 102 (b) and 102(e), applicants' arguments are fully considered and the rejection is withdrawn in view of the amendment reciting at least 10 amino acids and the position of amino acid in SEQ ID No. 5.

#### Conclusion

Claims are 79, 81-84, 87-98, 103, 106-120, 122-125 are free of art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Application/Control Number: 09/603,665

Art Unit: 1637

examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 571-272-0783. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and - for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Suryaprabha Chunduru January 31, 2004

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER